Beneficiary: Marco Cosme

Host institution: UCL

Scientific area: Life Sciences

Proposal acronym: SYMBIO-INC

	ission Forms	
se check our <u>wik</u>	for help on navigating the form.	
	Horizon 2020	,
(1	Call: H2020-MSCA-IF-2018 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowst	iips)
	Topic: MSCA-IF-2018	
	Type of action: MSCA-IF-EF-ST (Standard European Fellowships) Proposal number: 838525	
	Proposal acronym: SYMBIO-INC	
	Deadline Id: H2020-MSCA-IF-2018	
	Table of contents	
Section	Title	Action
1	General Information	
2	Participants & contacts	
3	Budget	
	Ethics	
4 5	Call-specific questions	

Proposal title: Mechanisms of symbiotic incompatibility in the arbuscular mycorrhizal association





## **Mobility**

Postdoc





## Proactive responsibilities, impact and outreach

- Publications: 9 peer-reviewed papers and 2 book chapters.
- 2 invited talks, 9 accepted talks and several conference posters.
- Coordinator and committee member of several scientific meetings.
- Ongoing or previous co-supervision: 2 PhD, 3 Master 9 Bachelor students.
- Study, communication and research grants: total budget 166,350.00 €.
- Communication for non-academic audiences.







## A way to reach the goal Innovative/novel topic



**Trends in Plant Science** 

Opinion

# Non-Mycorrhizal Plants: The Exceptions that Prove the Rule

Marco Cosme,<sup>1,\*</sup> Ivan Fernández,<sup>1</sup> Marcel G.A. Van der Heijden,<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Corné M.J. Pieterse<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

**Outstanding publication** 

Impact Factor: 12.15





CelPress







## **Build your own support network:**

- Good supervisor(s) and host institution(s).
- University funding officer(s).
- MSCA-IF beneficiaries.
- Friends and family.



## Some tips for the writing:

- 1. Start with a good amount of time in advance.
- 2. Consider the country.
- 3. Clear proposal goals with strong significance.
- 4. Innovative and multidisciplinary research methods.
- 5. Clear plan for enhancing impact and knowledge transfer.
- 6. Develop a go/no go analysis for the project implementation.
- 7. Importance of secondments are two-fold!!!
- 8. Concreate future career direction.



## Mobility





## **Evaluation Summary Report**

#### **Evaluation Result**

Total score: 96.60% (Threshold: 70/100.00)

**Criterion 1 - Excellence** 

Score: 4.90 (Threshold: 0/5.00, Weight: 50.00%)

Strengths:

- The project addresses timely and novel problems.
- The objectives are very well defined.
- The proposal is detailed and credible and considers multiple strategic alternatives
- The experimental approaches are innovative.
- Appropriate multidisciplinarity is presented.
- The supervisor has an impressive track record of achievements with ample supervisory experience.
- Proposed two-way transfer is excellent.
- The proposed secondments broaden the network of collaborators.
- Hosting arrangements are very good.
- A career development plan is considered.
- The researcher presents a strongly motivated, proactive and mobile career path with very credible potential for continued progress at high level.

Weaknesses:

- · Insufficient description of GWAS analysis.
- · Competences for GWAS is unclear.

### **Evaluation Summary Report**

#### **Evaluation Result**

Total score: 96.60% (Threshold: 70/100.00)

#### **Criterion 1 - Excellence**

Score: 4.90 (Threshold: 0/5.00, Weight: 50.00%)

### **Criterion 2 - Impact**

Score: 5.00 (Threshold: 0/5.00, Weight: 30.00%)

Strengths:

- Strong career enhancing elements within the proposal.
- The project has a novel research concept.
- Two secondments that will undoubtedly drive the researcher to establish as a research leader.
- Protection of intellectual property is identified in sufficient detail.
- The academic route is described with credible strategic landmarks.
- Communication to large scientific and curious audiences is proposed.

#### Weaknesses:

• None identified.

### **Evaluation Summary Report**

#### **Evaluation Result**

Total score: 96.60% (Threshold: 70/100.00)

**Criterion 1 - Excellence** 

Score: 4.90 (Threshold: 0/5.00 , Weight: 50.00%)

**Criterion 2 - Impact** 

Score: <u>5.00</u> (Threshold: 0/5.00, Weight: 30.00%)

**Criterion 3 - implementation** 

Score: 4.40 (Threshold: 0/5.00, Weight: 20.00%)

Strengths:

- WPs with coherent progression based on successive credible deliverables and milestones in an excellent Gantt chart.
- Appropriate allocation of time to each task.
- The risk analysis is suitable and appropriate and contingency plans are logically sound.
- The institutional environment is excellent.

Weaknesses:

- The time dedicated to transcriptome analysis is underestimated.
- Sample numbers are not clearly defined, which undermines the credibility of time and resource management for the transcriptomics effort.

- 1. 2016 MSCA-IF
- 2. 2017 Move-In Louvain: Incoming Postdoc Fellowships
- 3. 2017 F.R.S.-FNRS Postdoctoral fellowships
- 4. 2018 FNRS postdoctoral Fellowships
- 5. 2018 FSR incoming postdoctoral fellowships
- 6. 2018 MSCA-IF



